Domain Adaptation of Machine Translation with Crowdworkers

Makoto Morishita, Jun Suzuki, Masaaki Nagata

NTT Communication Science Laboratories, Tohoku University EMNLP 2022 Industry Track

MT Model Training



• Current MT models are mainly trained with a parallel corpus

- The model is specialized in the training domain
- MT models are weak in domains where they have not been trained
 - If you want to translate these domains
 - → Domain-adaptation

[Müller et al., AMTA 2020]

Limitations of domain-adaptation



We want to adapt the model to various domains

- → However, currently, we can adapt to the limited domains
- → Due to training data scarcity
- → Requires a method to collect in-domain efficiently

A Typical Method for Parallel Sentence Collection



Create parallel data by human translators

- → A limited number of workers who can translate
- → Require substantial cost, and it is time-consuming

Select In-domain Data from Web-based Corpora



• Extracting in-domain sentences from the web-based corpus

• Pros

- Easy
- Cons
 - It does not include enough data for some domains
 - CommonCrawl does not cover the whole web

Method: Co-operate with Crowdworkers

Hypothesis: People can quickly find parallel websites based on their experience

- → Ask crowdworkers to report parallel webpages
- → It is easier than asking for translations and is reasonable



Domain-adaptation with Crowdworkers



- Receive parallel URLs reported from crowdworkers
 - → Extract parallel sentences from the reported URLs
 - → Adapt the model to the target domain

Fixed Reward



- Pay a fixed reward per report
 - → Workers may report easy-to-find webpages, that may not be useful for domain-adaptation.

Change Workers' Behavior by Reward



For each reported URL, we return its evaluation result and reward → high-quality URLs:

a large number of sentences, high translation quality, similar domain

→ The worker will change their behavior to earn higher rewards efficiently



Experimental Settings

- Language: En-Ja
- Base model: Transformer trained with JParaCrawl (web-based parallel corpus)
- Target domain: Science, Patent, COVID-19, News, Legal
- Crowdsourcing: 97 workers, 13 days
- Rewards: Fixed or Variable

Fixed or Variable Reward?



- Variable rewards achieved better accuracy than fixed
 - Workers might be motivated to find good websites
 - After 13 days of collection, science domain improved by +3 points patent domain improved by +10 points

Other domains



- Our method draffic ly improved to LEU scores corner domains as well
 - Up to +20 points compared to the baseline
 - Crowdsourcing costs around 2,000 USD for each domain, which is quite reasonable than asking workers to translate

Compared to the Previous Method



- Moore-Lewig for in-den in-den in-den in-den web provide the corpora based on the language model scores
- Moore-Lewis's method slightly improved the scores, but our method clearly surpassed it

Conclusion

- We proposed a method to collect parallel sentences in the target domain rapidly
 - It is reasonable and faster than asking translation
 - Two types of rewards: Fixed and variable rewards
 - Variable rewards changed workers' behavior to collect high-quality data
 - We could train a domain-specific MT model with collected target-domain parallel sentences in a few days

